

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,934	06/02/2000	Jurgen Muller	TRW 2 256	4918
7	7590 02/24/2004		EXAMINER	
James W McKee			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
Fay Sharpe Fagan Minnich & McKee				
7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Superior Avenue			3729	500
Cleveland, OF	H 44114-2518		DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9
Advisory Action	09/508,934	MULLER ET AL.	1
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to n places the application	a n in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offi	e MPEP ate extension ate extension ce action; or
<ul> <li>1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> <li>2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further		soo NOTE bolow):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	see NOTE below),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially reducing or simpl	ifvina the
issues for appeal; and/or	r better form for appear by mate	rially reducing or simple	nying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Attachment</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi 	dered but does NOT pl	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were ne	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,11-17,20,21 and 23-28</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18,19 and 22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5</u> .			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. ☐ Other:		A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3729	2
Patent and Trademark Office			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/508,934

Art Unit: 3729

## **Attachment to Advisory Action**

In the proposed After Final amendment (Paper No. 21), the new structural limitations added to each of Claims 18, 19 and 22 narrows the scope of the claims. Since these new structural limitations were not claimed originally, the changes to each of Claims 18, 19 and 22 raises new issues requiring further consideration and search by the examiner.

Page 2